SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.5

PART A:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	**MS: 2012/BJAST/1682**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Spatial Relationship between Illiteracy and Unemployment at Governorate Level in Egypt-2006.**

(Note: Title of different sections as proposed below may differ in case of review paper)

SDI Review Form 1.5

PART B: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)*
General comment:	**A very good paper**	
Specific comments:	Some references were left out. Few corrections also needed	Corrected
Title and abstract	Good	
Introduction *(Is the problem/objective of this study original, important and well defined?)*	Yes	
Are all the references cited relevant, adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?	Yes	
Materials & methods *(Kindly comment on the suitability of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided to allow peers to evaluate and/or replicate the work)*	Very good	
Results & discussion (Kindly comment on: 1. Are the data well controlled and robust? 2. Authors should provide relevant references during discussion. 3. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Biased claims should be pointed out. 4. Are statistical analyses must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out?)	Yes Yes Yes Very good	
Are there any ethical or competing interest issues?	Not really	
Conclusion (Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data, presented inside the manuscript only)	Yes, very well.	
References	Some were missing	Corrected